#### **MINUTES**

#### MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

#### PLANNING & EXTERNAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE

#### METROPOLITAN ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

## March 3, 2016

The Board of Directors Planning & External Relations Committee met on March 3, 2016 at 11:34 a.m. in the Board Room on the 6<sup>th</sup> Floor of the MARTA Headquarters Building, 2424 Piedmont Road, Atlanta, Georgia.

## **Board Members Present**

Juanita Jones Abernathy Robert F. Dallas Jim Durrett, *Chair* Roderick E. Edmond Jerry Griffin Freda Hardage Christopher Tomlinson

MARTA officials in attendance were: General Manager/CEO Rukiya S. Thomas (Acting); Chief Operating Officer Richard A. Krisak; Chief Financial Officer Gordon L. Hutchinson; Chief Administrative Officer Edward L. Johnson; Chief Counsel Elizabeth O'Neill; AGMs, Wanda Dunham, Robin Henry, Ming His, Benjamin Limmer and David Springstead (Interim); Executive Director Ferdinand Risco; Senior Director Donald Williams; Directors Jennifer Jinadu-Wright, Remy Saintil and Carol Smith; Manager Alisa Jackson; Executive Manager to the Board Rebbie Ellisor-Taylor; Manager, Executive Office Administration Tyrene L. Huff; Finance Administrative Analyst Tracy Kincaid. Others in attendance Davis Allen, Kelly Barraze (Student Intern), Abebe Girmay, Nicholas Gowens, Terry L. Ponder, Tracie Roberson. Robin Salter.

Also in attendance Marcus Arnold; Dominique Huff of Home Rule News; Adelee Le Grand of AECOM; Tim Kassa and Jim Schmid of HNTB.

#### Consent Agenda

a) Approval of the January 14, 2016 Planning & External Relations Committee Meeting Minutes

On motion by Mr. Griffin seconded by Mrs. Hardage the Consent Agenda was unanimously approved by a vote of 5 to 0, with 5 members present.

### Individual Agenda

## Briefing - Clayton County High Capacity Update

Mr. Williams provided the Committee an update on the planning activities related to the Clayton County High Capacity Transit Study.

Norfolk Southern Agreement - Project Status

- Study purpose:
  - Preliminary engineering study to determine right-of-way available for potential acquisition by MARTA
- Deliverables:
  - Analysis of engineering design criteria for Norfolk Southern freight operations along Love Joy
  - o Identification of right-of-way available for potential acquisition by MARTA
- Study will be completed by summer 2016

## High Capacity Transit Initiative Overview

- Purpose
  - Evaluate project alternatives and complete necessary environmental documentation
- Deliverables
  - Purpose and Need
  - Alternatives screening and analysis
  - Technical environmental studies
  - Environmental Impact Statement
  - Advisory Committee/public involvement

## High Capacity Transit Initiative Overview – Project Stages

- Scoping
  - Purpose and Need
  - Goals and Objectives

- Critical Issues
- Range of Alternatives
- Input (Public, Agencies, and Stakeholders)
- Prepare Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS)
  - Alternatives Screening and Analysis
  - Technical Environmental Studies
  - Input (Public, Agencies, and Stakeholders)
- Publish DEIS
  - Review and Comment (Public, Agencies, and Stakeholders)
- Select Preferred Alternative
  - Comments Reviewed and Addressed
  - Preferred Alternative Selected
  - Final Environmental Impact Statement to be undertaken

## High Capacity Transit Initiative Overview - Schedule

•	Project Administration	February 2016-July 2017
•	Advisory Committee/Public Involvement	March 2016-July 2017
•	Data Collection and Analysis	March 2016-February 2017
•	Development of Project Purpose and Needs	April 2016-September 2016
•	Alternatives Screening and Analysis	March 2016 -November 2016
•	Selection of Locally Preferred Alternative	November 2016-February 2017
•	Technical Environmental Studies	March 2016-Apri12017
•	Complete DEIS	Summer 2017

## High Capacity Transit Initiative Overview – Advisory Committee

- Clayton County Advisory Committee Role
  - Provide input in reference to community transit needs
  - Function as a sounding board for the project team
  - Provide feedback on study direction and analysis

- Recommended Representation
  - Elected Officials, Development Community,
  - Neighborhood Groups, Academic Community and
  - Business Community
- Status
  - Preliminary list of Committee members identified
  - Refining the list to ensure inclusiveness and diversity

### Next Steps

- Initiate Advisory Committee Kickoff Meeting
- Complete Project Management Plan
- Continue data collection and analysis
- Provide Board periodic status updates
- Mr. Griffin asked about the parameters of public involvement in regards to projects.
- Mr. Williams said MARTA documents all the feedback from the public and provides realistic outcomes and cost constraints.
- Mr. Durrett asked if the concerns of Board Member Abdul-Salaam regarding the makeup of the advisory committee were addressed.
- Mr. Williams said yes.
- Mr. Durrett asked about the academia representation.
- Mr. Williams said Clayton State University will have representation.
- Mr. Dallas asked if there will be support for Transit Oriented Development.
- Mr. Williams said GDOT is already thinking about possible corridors.
- Mr. Griffin commented that Forest Park has two blocks cleared out for redevelopment and Morrow has a parking deck.

## Briefing – FY16 Quality of Service Survey Semiannual Report

Dr. Salter briefed the Committee on the Quality of Service update of satisfaction and performance scores from the first six months of FY16.

## Survey Methodology

- Interviewed Riders
  - 3,328 intercept interviews with bus and rail patrons from July 1 to December 31, 2015
- Analyzed Data
  - Ran analyses for current and previous fiscal years to identify trends
- Summarized Key Findings
  - Rider Profiles
  - Satisfaction ratings
  - FY16 changes in performance scores
  - Appendix
    - Jurisdiction of Residence
    - Complete list of performance ratings

#### Rider Profiles

- Compared to the previous fiscal year, the first six months of FY16 saw a slight increase in the percentage of work-related trips
- There was a decrease in the percentage of African-American riders, male riders, and patrons who have alternative transportation

#### Satisfaction

- During the first six months of FY16 there was a moderate increase in overall satisfaction
- Willingness to recommend MARTA to family or friends remains high and future riding intentions are stable

## Quadrant Analysis

• First SIX months of FY 16 saw improvements In the quadrant analysis overall:

- More strength attributes
- Fewer focus attributes
- 12 attribute scores increased significantly and 10 declined
- Perceptions of service frequency are worsening, particularly for off-peak times

## The Takeaways

- Trend of increased customer satisfaction continues
- Willingness to recommend MARTA to family or friends remains high
- Future riding intentions are stable
- Out of 42 performance attributes scored in FY15 & FY16
  - 12 improved and 10 declined
- Positive changes in the FY16 quadrant analysis
  - Strength attributes increased from 13 to 18
  - Focus attributes decreased from 12 to 8
- Of 8 performance attributes in focus category 4 related to off-peak frequency
- Frequency of weekday bus and rail service during day still strengths but average scores for both declined

## Next Steps

- Research & Analysis continues to monitor performance attributes
- Continue taking measures to improve the quality of wait time:
  - Promote MARTA on the Go and See & Say apps
  - Provide next bus information at rail stations via digital signage at bus bays and rail platforms

Mr. Durrett asked how is importance rated.

Dr. Salter said Research & Analysis does not ask people what is most important; instead, attributes are related to overall satisfaction to determine what is important to riders.

## Briefing - Regional Marketing Overview

Mrs. Jinadu-Wright briefed the committee on the Regional Transit Marketing Program.

## Communication Objectives

 To increase overall awareness of the transit options available to metro Atlanta commuters and to position these options (MARTA, GRTA, CCT and GCT) as a desirable and easy option

## Target Audience

- Millennials (age 20 34)
- By targeting Millennials, which represent nearly 1/4 of potential transit riders in the region, regional transit agencies can have a significant impact on raising awareness of transit and ultimately increasing ridership

## Campaign Strategy

- Reach them at work
- Make it social
- Go where they go
- Persuade them with their own peers

## Key Message & Creative Approach

- Stress reduction and "me time" on transit
- Creative approach will center on satire with the payoff of the tangible benefits of riding transit, blending the "Satirical" and "Alternative to Stress" concepts
- Tagline
  - Some people love traffic. Others try transit.

## Campaign Metrics

- Quantitative Metrics
  - Web hits, length of stay, trips planned
  - Materials distributed
  - Events participated in

- Facebook/Twitter engagement (# of followers, # of shares, # of comments)
- Video views, video shares
- Qualitative Metrics
  - o Transit provider survey responses (both of riders and non-riders)
  - o Tonality of social media engagement
  - o Partner anecdotes

## Timeline & Budget

- Campaign Dates: March 10th 2016 May 5th 2016
- Budget: \$250,000 (\$140,000 for implementation)

## Other Matters

No other matters came before the Committee.

## Adjournment

The Planning & External Relations Committee meeting adjourned at 12:14 p.m.